1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	Northern District of California
5	
6	E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS No. C-11-01665 MEJ
7	Plaintiff(s), NOTICE OF IMPENDING v. REASSIGNMENT TO A UNITED
8	v. REASSIGNMENT TO A UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY,
9	INC.
10	Defendant(s).
11	
12	The Clerk of this Court will now randomly reassign this case to a United States District
13	Judge because either:
14	(1) One or more of the parties has requested reassignment to a United States District Judge;
15	(2) One or more of the parties has sought a kind of judicial action that a Magistrate Judge may not
16	take without the consent of all parties, the necessary consents have not been secured, and time is of
17	the essence; or
18	(3) One or more of the parties has failed to respond to an order to file a consent to proceed before a
19	Magistrate Judge.
20	
21	All previous hearing dates are hereby VACATED .
22	
23	Dated: April 13, 2011
24	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
25	United States District Court
26	
27	By: Brenda Tolbert, Deputy Clerk
28	